



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,195 12/03/		12/03/2001	2001 Chris H. Senanayake	4821-409-999	4449
20582	7590	12/01/2005	EXAMINER		INER
JONES DA	Υ		KUMAR, SHAILENDRA		
51 Louisian					
WASHING	TON, DC	20001-2113	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/998,195	SENANAYAKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS y statute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>14 November 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 32-43 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 32-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/998,195

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/14/05 has been entered.

Claims 1-8 and 32-43 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-8 and 32 –43 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Jeffrey et al and Fang et al, all for the reasons of record.

Instant claims are directed to stereoisomer of the compounds of claim 1 and thereby dependent claims.

Applicants' arguments were fully considered and were not found convincing.

Applicants argue that Jeffery fails to teach claimed compounds, as compounds 4 and 5a disclosed by the reference are not stereoisomer as claimed herein. Applicants further allege that Fang does not cure this deficiency because Fang does not teach or suggest the claimed compounds. Furthermore, applicants urge that there is nom motivation to combine the two references to arrive at the claimed compounds. Finally, applicants

Application/Control Number: 09/998,195

Art Unit: 1621

contend that the examiner is wrong in stating that "stereoisomer are obvious over racemates and method to prepare stereoisomer are ... well known".

The examiner would like to point out that again, that stereoisomer is prima facie obvious over racemate, as a whole, absent evidence to the contrary. In re Adamson et al, 275 F2d 952, 125 USPQ 233; Brenner et al v. Ladd. Comr, Pats. 147 USPQ 87; In re Williams, 80 USPQ 150.

The examiner has not seen any where, in the instant specification, any unexpected results and applicants have not argued in terms of any unexpected results, and claims are unpatentable.

3. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/998,195. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/998,195

Art Unit: 1621

the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA -. KUMAR whose telephone number is

(571)272-0640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571)272-0646. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAILENDRA - KUMAR

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 11/28/05